billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.	billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.
			1

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)


Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these.
 Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community –
 for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health
 programs.

	Rating	Scale	
0	1 !/	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for:	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated significant support for:
Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs



The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

	Rating	g Scale	
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

ore for assessment cr	teria 6: (0-3) (Z)	
mments:		
7		

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

	Ratin	g Scale	
0 /	(1)V	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support:
health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and


[Assessors name] - Panel assessment form

Application No:

	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.
Score for assessme	nt criteria 7: (0-3)		
Cammanter	Trestational (1)		
	(13)		
Total score and ove	erall comments:	out of 21)	
	for this application:		
· V			
			8

Application details.

- 1. Organisation name:
- 2. Program name (if applicable):




Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

/ Ratir	ng Scale	2
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing. The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.



Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

1	Rating	Scale	2
detail on what the funding sought will be used for.	1	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.
The budget was not detailed and the		Tof money.	

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)



Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

0	Ratin	ng Scale	
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3) (1)
Comments:

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

0	Rati	ng Scale	
The proposal did not	1	2	3
demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

	Rating	scale	
0	1	2 1)	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)

Comments

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- · Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale				
0)	1	2	3	
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support:	
health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	

Application No:

billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.	billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.
	service.		Service.

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)


Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these.
 Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community –
 for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health
 programs.

- Rating Scale				
0 /	1)	2	3	
The proposal did not demonstrate support for:	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated significant support for:	
Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs	



[Assessors name] - Panel assessment form

Application No.

-	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.
---	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)

Comments:

(1

Total score and overall comments:

9 -8?

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

Overall comments for this application:

Date of completion:

Application details.

- 1. Organisation name:
- 2. Program name (if applicable):

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location' of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale /				
0	1 (2) /	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	demo	proposal onstrated sound nitment to bulk	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.



Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale				
0	1) /	2	3	
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.	

Application No:

demonstrate value for	
money.	4.1

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale				
0	1) 🗸	2	3	
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	




Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale				
0	1 /	2	3	
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-	

care service. primary health care service.	re service. primary health care service.
--	--



Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these.
 Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community –
 for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health
 programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1)	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for:	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated significant support for:
Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6



The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale				
0	1')	2	3	
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal, demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

1	Ratin	g Scale	
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support:
health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and

Application No:

	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.
Score for assessm	nent criteria 7: (0-3)		
Comments:			× .

Total score and overall comments:



Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)





Date of completion:

Application details.




The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

	Ratin	g Scale,	de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della
0	1	19412) E	7/13/11
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	the proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.



The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

	Rating	Scale	3	
0	1	2).	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	expl budg proje offer	re was some anation of each get line item. Th ect appeared to r reasonable val money.	budget line item. The project appeared to

demonstrate value for		
money.		

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

Comments

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale				
0	1	2	3)	
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3) 3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

	Ratin	ng/Scale	
0	1	(2)	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-

billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.	billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.
-------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	---

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these.
 Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community –
 for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health
 programs.

	Rating	g Scale	
0	1 (2')	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for:	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated significant support for:
Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs


Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

	Rating	g Scale	
0	1	2	(3)
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.



Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

	Ratin	g Scale	\sim /
0	1	2	3)
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support:
health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3/

Comments:

Total score and overall comments:

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

(R)

Overall comments for this application:

Application details.

- 1. Organisation name:
- 2. Program name (if applicable):


The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

	Ratin	g Scale	
0	(1)	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.





Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	(1)	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.

demonstrate value for	
money,	


Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

	Ratin	g Scale	
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.



Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale				
0	1)	2	3	
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-	

billed primary health	term bulk billed primary health care	billed primary health	term bulk billed primary health care
care service.	service.	care service.	service.

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)



Assessment Criteria 5.

Comments:

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these.
 Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community –
 for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health
 programs.

	Rating	g Seale	
0	1 /	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for:	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated significant support for:
Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)



Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6



The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1 /	2)	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3) (2)

Comments:

Assessment Criteria /

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- · Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- · Vulnerable population groups.

50000	Rating	g Scale	
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support:
health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and

	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.
--	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)



Total score and overall comments: (



Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)



Date of completion:

Application details.

- 1. Organisation name:
- 2. Program name (if applicable):


The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale				
0	1	2)1	3	
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.	



Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

	Rating	g Scale	
0	1').	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

	Ratin	g-Seale	
0	1 (2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3)

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

0	1/1/10/1	(C)	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	the proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-

billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.	billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.
-------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	---

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)



Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale					
0 (1	2	3		
The proposal did not demonstrate support for:	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated significant support for:		
Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/o integration with relevant local programs		

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6





The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

))	Rating	g Scale	
0 / "	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)	0	i i
Comments:	1	

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- · Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

	Ratin	g Scale	
0 (1)	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and

[Assessors name] - Panel assessment form

	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.	groups.
--	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	---------

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)

Comments:

Total score and overall comments:

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

Overall comments for this application:

Application details.

Organisation name:
 Program name (if applicable):

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

		Ratin	g Scale	
0	1).	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	demi	proposal postrated limited mitment to bulk g.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.



Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

	Rating	Scale	
0	1 (2)	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	project appeared to

demonstrate value for	
money.	

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

	Rating	g Scale /	
0	1) (1/2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3)

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale						
0	1		2	3		
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	der limi the will	e proposal nonstrated to a ited extent how general practice provide a tainable and long-	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-		

	billed primary health care service.		billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.
--	-------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3) Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

	Rating	z-Seale	
0	1 (2)	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for:	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated significant support for:
Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3) 2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

	Ratin	g Scate		
0	1 (2)	3	
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)	2	
Comments:		

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:


- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

	Rating	g Scale	
0	1)	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support:
health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and

[Assessors name] - Panel assessment form

Application No:

	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.
core for assessme	ent criteria 7: (0-3)		
	ent criteria 7: (0-3)	-1-	· A 1 65
core for assessme	ent criteria 7: (0-3)	-1-	* A * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *



Application details.

- 1. Organisation name:
- 2. Program name (if applicable):

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

	Ratin	g Scale	
0	1 (2)	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.



Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

	Ratjng	Scale	
0	1	2)	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not	There was some detail- on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.

demonstrate value for money.				
Score for assessment crit	eria 2: (0-3)	2		
Comments:				
V.				

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

	Ratin	g Scale	
0	((1))		3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.



Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

A	/ Ratin	g Scale	
10/	1)/	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-

Application No:

billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care	billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care
	service.		service.

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3) /
Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these.
 Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community –
 for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health
 programs.

0	1/10	2)1	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for:	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care,	The proposal demonstrated significant support for:
Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)
Comments:
Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

	Rating	seale /	
0	1 /	2)	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

,	Ratin	g Scale	
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support:
health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.
--	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)



Comments:

Total score and overall comments:



Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)



Overall comments for this application:

Application details.

- 1. Organisation name:
- 2. Program name (if applicable):


The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

	Ratin	g-Scate	
0	1	2)	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.



Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.


	Rating	Scale	
0	1	2	3 \
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.

demonstrate value for money.			
core for accomment with vis 3, (0, 3)	(3)		
core for assessment criteria 2: (0-3) omments:	(3)	1	.+

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

	Ratin	g Scale	
0 /	11)	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.



Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale					
0	1	2 V	3		
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-		

Application details.

- 1. Organisation name:
- 2. Program name (if applicable):GP Buk Billing Grant

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location' of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale				
0	1	2	3	

There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for.	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to
The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.	value for money.	offer reasonable value for money.	offer excellent value for money.

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

1.5

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale				
0	1	2	3	
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3)

1

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

2.5

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs—
 for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to
 these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be
 considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community –
 for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health
 programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for:	The proposal demonstrated limited support for:	The proposal demonstrated sound support for:	The proposal demonstrated significant support
	Preventative care, coordinated care with	Preventative care, coordinated care with	for:

Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs
---	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

1

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)

1.5

Comments:

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support:
health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)

1

Comments:

Total score and overall comments:

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

11.5

Overall comments for this application:

Date of completion:30/4/2018

Application details.

- 1. Organisation name:
- 2. Program name (if applicable):GP Buk Billing Grant

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale				
0	1	2	3	

There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.
money.			

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3)

2.5

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

2.5

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs –
 for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to
 these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be
 considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community –
 for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health
 programs.

Rating Scale				
0	1	2	3	

The proposal did not	The proposal	The proposal	The proposal
demonstrate support for:	demonstrated limited support for:	demonstrated sound support for:	demonstrated significant support
	Preventative care,	Preventative care,	for:
Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale				
0	1	2	3	
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	

2.5

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for
 example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated
 understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander
 peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's
 workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)

2.5

Comments:

Application No:

Total score and overall comments:

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

17

Overall comments for this application:

Date of completion:30/4/2018

Application details.

- 1. Organisation name:
- 2. Program name (if applicable):GP Buk Billing Grant

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the *'Location* of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3)

3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			(†	
0	1	2	3	

There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.
---	---	--	---

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3)

1

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

2.5

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs—
 for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to
 these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be
 considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for:	The proposal demonstrated limited support for:	The proposal demonstrated sound support for:	The proposal demonstrated significant support
	Preventative care, coordinated care with	Preventative care, coordinated care with	for:

Application No:

Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs
---	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

1

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)

1

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)

1

Comments:

Total score and overall comments:

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

- Panel assessment form

Application No:

Overall comments for this application:

Date of completion:30/4/2018

Application details.

- 1. Organisation name:
- 2. Program name (if applicable):GP Buk Billing Grant

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3)

3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale				
0	1	2	3	

There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.
---	---	--	---

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3)

2.5

Comments:

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

		1	
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs –
 for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to
 these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be
 considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community –
 for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health
 programs.

Rating Scale				
0	1	2	3	

The proposal	The proposal	The proposal
demonstrated limited	demonstrated sound	demonstrated
support for:	support for:	significant support
Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs
	demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local	demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

2.5

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale					
0	1	2	3		
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.		

Comments:

Demonstrated commitment to using MHR, and good use of digital technology

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for
 example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated
 understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander
 peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's
 workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support:
health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)

3

Comments:

Application No:

Total score and overall comments:

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

18.

Overall comments for this application:

Date of completion:30/4/2018

Application details.

- 1. Organisation name:
- 2. Program name (if applicable):GP Buk Billing Grant

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale				
0	1	2	3	

There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.
---	---	--	---

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale					
0	1	2	3		
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.		

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and longterm bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs –
 for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to
 these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be
 considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community –
 for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health
 programs.

0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support	The proposal demonstrated limited	The proposal demonstrated sound	The proposal demonstrated
for:	support for:	support for:	significant support for:
	Preventative care, coordinated care with	Preventative care, coordinated care with	TOT.

rdinated care with ultidisciplinary n approach and/or	a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs,	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs
---	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)

2

Comments:

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for
 example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated
 understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander
 peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's
 workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)

2.5

Comments:

Application No:

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

14.5

Overall comments for this application:

Date of completion:30/4/2018

Application details.

- 1. Organisation name:
- 2. Program name (if applicable):GP Buk Billing Grant

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3)

3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale				
0	1	2	3	

Application No:

detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.	explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.
--	---	--

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

2.5

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3)

1.5

Comments:

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

2.5

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs —
 for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to
 these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be
 considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community –
 for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health
 programs.

Rating Scale				
0	1	2	3	

for: demonstrated support for:	significant support
for: support for:	
ative care, atted care with disciplinary oproach and/or ion with tocal atted care. Preventative care coordinated care a multidisciplinate team approach integration with relevant local programs.	re with Preventative care, coordinated care with and/or a multidisciplinary
	ated care with lisciplinary a multidisciplin proach and/or ion with local coordinated care with coordinated care with coordinated care with a multidisciplin team approach integration with relevant local

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

2.5

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- · Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support:	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support:
health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)

2

Comments:

- Panel assessment form

Application No:

Total score and overall comments:

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

16

Overall comments for this application:

Date of completion:30/4/2018

Application details.

- 1. Organisation name:
- 2. Program name (if applicable):GP Buk Billing Grant

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3)

1

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale				
0	1	2	3	

There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.
--	---	--	---

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

1.5

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3)

1

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

1

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs –
 for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to
 these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be
 considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community —
 for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health
 programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for:	The proposal demonstrated limited support for:	The proposal demonstrated sound support for:	The proposal demonstrated significant support
	Preventative care, coordinated care with	Preventative care, coordinated care with	for: