

#207



PAGE 2: General Information

<b>Q1: Contact details</b>	
Name	Anonymous
Email Address	
<b>Q2: Do you smoke cigarettes or use a personal vaporiser (e.g. e-cigarette)?</b>	Yes, I use a personal vaporiser
<b>Q3: Have you previously smoked cigarettes?</b>	Yes
<b>Q4: Have you ever used a personal vaporiser?</b>	Yes

PAGE 3: Options to prevent the uptake of personal vaporisers

<b>Q5: Do you support maintaining the current arrangements governing the promotion and sale of personal vaporisers in the ACT?</b>	Yes
<b>Q6: What do you see as the benefits of maintaining the current arrangements?</b>	To make freely available to those seeking an alternative to smoking is paramount to giving people options. Vapourizers do not normalise smoking, they are a safer alternative. Restricting sales would reduce options for people seeking ways to quit.
<b>Q7: What do you see as the costs of maintaining the current arrangements?</b>	None
<b>Q8: What do you see as the risks of maintaining the current arrangements?</b>	Young people are unlikely to take this up due to the cost of initial set-up which can be expensive, so I don't see under-age use as a big risk.

PAGE 4: Options to prevent the uptake of personal vaporisers

## ACT Government Community Consultation on Personal Vaporisers

**Q9: Do you think community education and awareness raising activities would be sufficient to prevent personal vaporiser uptake, particularly by non-smokers, former smokers and young people?**

Yes – in isolation of other measures to restrict sale and promotion of personal vaporisers

### PAGE 5: Options to prevent the uptake of personal vaporisers

**Q10: Do you support the introduction of restrictions on the sale and promotion of personal vaporisers in the ACT?**

No

**Q11: What do you see as the benefits of introducing restrictions on the sale and promotion of personal vaporisers in the ACT?**

No benefit

**Q12: What do you see as the costs of introducing such restrictions?**

You reduce availability to smokers wanting an alternative

**Q13: What do you see as the risks or implementation issues of introducing such restrictions?**

Risk is that government is seen as being in the pocket of big tobacco and pharma

### PAGE 6: Options to prevent the uptake of personal vaporisers

**Q14: Do you support a ban on the sale of fruit, sweet, confectionery or alcohol flavoured personal vaporisers in the ACT?**

No

**Q15: What do you see as the benefits of banning the sale of flavoured personal vaporisers in the ACT?**

No benefit

**Q16: What do you see as the costs of such a ban?**

People will keep smoking - cost is unable to be measured in dollars and health

**Q17: What do you see as the risks or implementation issues of introducing such a ban?**

Government is seen as in the pocket of big tobacco and pharma, more interested in revenue than health

### PAGE 7: Options to prevent the renormalisation of smoking and protect the public from second-hand vapour

**Q18: Any comments?**

Do your research then, stop reacting to hysteria. There is research out there if you care to look.

### PAGE 8: Options to prevent the renormalisation of smoking and protect the public from second-hand

vapour

<b>Q19: Do you support prohibiting personal vaporiser use in smoke-free areas?</b>	Yes
<b>Q20: What do you see as the benefits of prohibiting personal vaporiser use in smoke-free areas?</b>	As someone who uses a vapouriser, I do not want to alarm others until the public has been fully educated that they are not a risk to them or their children. I support their use, but not in smoke free areas.
<b>Q21: What do you see as the costs of prohibiting personal vaporiser use in smoke-free areas?</b>	It means that people who have quit smokign and use a vapouriser will have to stand with smokers, risk is that they may have a cigarette instead.
<b>Q22: What do you see as the risks or implementation issues of prohibiting personal vaporiser use in smoke-free areas?</b>	A reduction in the uptake of vapourisers and people continuing to smoke.

**PAGE 9: Options to prevent the renormalisation of smoking and protect the public from second-hand vapour**

<b>Q23: Do you support permitting personal vaporiser use in smoke-free areas?</b>	Don't know
<b>Q24: What do you see as the benefits of permitting personal vaporiser use in smoke-free areas?</b>	More people will see them and become educated in how they work.
<b>Q25: What do you see as the costs of permitting personal vaporiser use in smoke-free areas?</b>	People may become concerned that they are affected by them
<b>Q26: What do you see as the risks or implementation issues of permitting personal vaporiser use in smoke-free areas?</b>	None
<b>Q27: Do you believe personal vaporiser use should be permitted in all smoke-free areas or only some?</b>	Some smoke-free areas, If some, in which areas do you believe the use of personal vaporisers should be permitted and why? All outdoor areas should be permitted e.g. beaches, boats, outdoor cafes

**PAGE 10: Additional Comments on Consultation**

**Q28: Other Comments?**

Using a vapourizer has enables me to quit smoking after 35 years, where nothing has worked, and I have tried everything. I have been smoke free for 6 months, and will remain so whilst I can use a vapourizer. If they were banned, I would start smoking again. Please do not ban vapourizers, they are very effective and have saved my life and many others I know who have managed to quit with them. Invest in research, not enforcement of bans.

**PAGE 11: Publication Consent**

**Q29: Do you consent for ACT Health to make your submission publicly available?**

Yes - I consent to my submission being published anonymously