

[REDACTED] - Panel assessment form

Application No:

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

9.5

Overall comments for this application:

[REDACTED]

Date of completion:30/4/2018

Application details.

1. Organisation name [REDACTED]
2. Program name (if applicable): GP Buk Billing Grant

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3)

3

Comments:

[REDACTED]

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.

Panel assessment form

Application No:

project does not demonstrate value for money.			
---	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

2

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3)

2.5

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-

billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.	billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.
-------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	---

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

2

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients’ needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

2

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)

3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients,	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients,	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients,

Panel assessment form

Application No:

linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.
--	---	---	---

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)

1

Comments:

Total score and overall comments:

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

15.5

Overall comments for this application:

Date of completion:30/4/2018

Application details.

1. Organisation name: [REDACTED]
2. Program name (if applicable): GP Bulk Billing Grant

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3)

1.5

Comments:

[REDACTED]

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3

<p>There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for.</p> <p>The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.</p>	<p>There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.</p>	<p>There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.</p>	<p>There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.</p>
--	--	---	--

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

2

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
<p>The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.</p>	<p>The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.</p>	<p>The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.</p>	<p>The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.</p>

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3)

1.5

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

1.5

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients’ needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3

<p>The proposal did not demonstrate support for:</p> <p>Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated limited support for:</p> <p>Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated sound support for:</p> <p>Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated significant support for:</p> <p>Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs</p>
---	--	--	---

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
<p>The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.</p>

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)

1.5

Comments:**Assessment Criteria 7**

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
<p>The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support:</p> <p>Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support:</p> <p>Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support:</p> <p>Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support:</p> <p>Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.</p>

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)

2

Comments:

[REDACTED]

Application details.

1. Organisation name: [REDACTED]
2. Program name (if applicable): GP Buk Billing Grant

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3)

1

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for.	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to

– Panel assessment form

Application No:

The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.		offer reasonable value for money.	offer excellent value for money.
---	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

1.5

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3)

1

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a

billed primary health care service.	sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.
-------------------------------------	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

1

Comments:**Assessment Criteria 5.**

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

1.5

Comments:

[REDACTED]

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients,	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients,	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients,

Panel assessment form

Application No:

Application details.

1. Organisation name:
2. Program name (if applicable): GP Bulk Billing Grant

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3

<p>There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for.</p> <p>The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.</p>	<p>There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.</p>	<p>There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.</p>	<p>There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.</p>
--	--	---	--

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

2

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
<p>The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation.</p> <p>There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.</p>	<p>The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation.</p> <p>There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.</p>	<p>The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation.</p> <p>There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.</p>	<p>The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation.</p> <p>There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.</p>

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3)

2

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Panel assessment form

Application No:

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for:	The proposal demonstrated limited support for:	The proposal demonstrated sound support for:	The proposal demonstrated

Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs
---	---	---	--

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

2.5

Comments:

[Redacted]

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)

2

Comments:

[Redacted]

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.


Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
<p>The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support:</p> <p>Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support:</p> <p>Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support:</p> <p>Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support:</p> <p>Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.</p>

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)

2

Comments:

[REDACTED]

 Panel assessment form

Application No:

Total score and overall comments:

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

14.5

Overall comments for this application:



Date of completion:30/4/2018

Application details.

1. Organisation name: [REDACTED]
2. Program name (if applicable): GP Bulk Billing Grant

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3)

1

Comments:

[REDACTED]

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3

<p>There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for.</p> <p>The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.</p>	<p>There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.</p>	<p>There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.</p>	<p>There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.</p>
--	--	---	--

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3)

1.5

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
<p>The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.</p>	<p>The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.</p>	<p>The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.</p>	<p>The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.</p>

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3)

1

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

1

Comments:

[REDACTED]

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for:	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with	The proposal demonstrated significant support for:

Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs
---	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

2

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)

2

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
<p>The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support:</p> <p>Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support:</p> <p>Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support:</p> <p>Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.</p>	<p>The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support:</p> <p>Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.</p>

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)

1.

Comments:

[REDACTED]

Total score and overall comments:

[REDACTED] Panel assessment form

Application No:

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

9.5

Overall comments for this application:

[REDACTED]

Date of completion:30/4/2018

From

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
applicant criteria	[REDACTED]												
1 BB commit	1.5	1.5	1.5	2	1	2.5	2	2	1	2	2	1.5	1.5
2 Value for \$	1.5	0.5	0.5	1	1	1	1	1	0.5	1	1	1.5	1
3 Timeline	1	1	1	2	1	1.5	1	1.5	1	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
4 Sustainable I/T BB	2	2	2	2.5	2	1	1	2	1.5	1.5	2	1.5	2
5 Prev + Co-Ord + Integrate	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.5	2	0	2	2.5	1	1.5	2	1	1.5
6 Digital	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2	0	2	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
7 ATSI + ESL + Vuln	2	2	2	2.5	2.5	0	0	2	1	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
total	12	11	11	15	11.5	6	9	12.5	7.5	10.5	11.5	10	10.5
Note	[REDACTED]												
current bb	[REDACTED]												
fund	[REDACTED]												

Notes

[REDACTED]

billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.	billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.
-------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	---

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

(2)

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients’ needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.


Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

(2)

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6



The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2 ✓	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3) (2)

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice’s workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1 ✓	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and



	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.
--	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)

(1)

Comments:




Total score and overall comments:

13

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

Overall comments for this application:



Date of completion:

Application details.

1. Organisation name: [REDACTED]
2. Program name (if applicable): [REDACTED]

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3) 1

Comments: [REDACTED]


Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.

demonstrate value for money.			
------------------------------	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3) /

Comments: 

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3) /

Comments: 

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-



billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.	billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.
-------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	---

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3) /

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients’ needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3) /

Comments:



The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3) 2

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice’s workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and



	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.
--	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------


Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3) 0

Comments:

Total score and overall comments: 7

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21) 7

Overall comments for this application:



Date of completion:

Application details.

1. Organisation name: [REDACTED]
2. Program name (if applicable):

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3)

2

Comments:

[REDACTED]

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.


Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.

[REDACTED]

demonstrate value for money.			
------------------------------	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3) 1

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3) (1)

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.


Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-

billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.	billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.
-------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	---

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3) **(2)**

Comments:

At



Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients’ needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3) **(2)**

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6



The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2 ✓	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)

(2)

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice’s workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2 ✓	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and

	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.
--	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3) (2)

Comments: [Redacted]

Total score and overall comments: (12)

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

Overall comments for this application: [Redacted]

Application details.

1. Organisation name: [REDACTED]
2. Program name (if applicable):

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3) 2

Comments:

[REDACTED]


Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.

demonstrate value for money.			
------------------------------	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3) 2

Comments: 

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2 ✓	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3) 2

Comments: 

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.	billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.
-------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	---

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3) 2

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients’ needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2 ✓	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3) 2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6



The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2 ✓	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3)

2

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice’s workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2 ✓	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.
--	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3) (2)

Comments:

[Redacted]

Total score and overall comments:

14


Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

Overall comments for this application:

[Redacted]

Date of completion:

Application details.

1. Organisation name: 
2. Program name (if applicable):

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3) 2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for. The budget was not detailed and the project does not	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer reasonable value for money.	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to offer excellent value for money.

demonstrate value for money.			
------------------------------	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3) **2**

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2 ✓	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3) **2**

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2 ✓	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-

billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.	billed primary health care service.	term bulk billed primary health care service.
-------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	---

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3) **2**

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients’ needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2 ✓	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3) **2**

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6



The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3) 2

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice’s workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and vulnerable population groups.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and

[Assessors name] -- Panel assessment form

Application No:

	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.	vulnerable population groups.
--	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3) 2

Comments:



Total score and overall comments: 14

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

Overall comments for this application:



Date of completion:

■■■■■ scores

Application No:	Name:	SC1	SC2	SC3	SC4	SC5	SC6	SC7	TOTAL
1	■■■■■	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	15
2	■■■■■	2	0	1	1	2	3	1	10
3	■■■■■	3	2	2	1	2	2	2	13
4	■■■■■	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	20
5	■■■■■	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	19
6	■■■■■	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	19
7	■■■■■	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	9
8	■■■■■	3	1	3	1	3	3	3	17
9	■■■■■	2	1	1	0	1	1	2	8
10	■■■■■	1	2	2	1	1	3	0	9
11	■■■■■	3	2	2	1	3	3	2	16
12	■■■■■	3	2	2	1	3	3	2	16
13	■■■■■	3	2	2	1	3	2	2	15

Application details.

- 1. Organisation name: [REDACTED]
- 2. Program name (if applicable): [REDACTED]

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The ratings (from all assessors) will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the next panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3) **3**

Comments: [REDACTED]

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for.	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to

The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.		offer reasonable value for money.	offer excellent value for money.
---	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3) 1

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3) 3

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how

provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.
--	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3) 3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients’ needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3) 3

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3) 2.

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.
-------------------------------	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3) 3

Comments:



Total score and overall comments:


Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21) 19/21

Overall comments for this application:



Date of completion: 28.04.18.

Application details.

1. Organisation name: 
2. Program name (if applicable):

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.


Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3) 2

Comments: 

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for.	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.		offer reasonable value for money.	offer excellent value for money.
---	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3) 3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

55

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3) 2.

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.
--	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3)

3.

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3)

3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3) **3**

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.
-------------------------------	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3) 3

Comments:

Total score and overall comments:

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21) 19/21

Overall comments for this application:

Date of completion: 28.04.18

Application details.

1. Organisation name: [REDACTED]
2. Program name (if applicable): [REDACTED]

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3) 3

Comments: [REDACTED]

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for.	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.		offer reasonable value for money.	offer excellent value for money.
---	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3) 2

Comments:

**Assessment Criteria 3.**

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3) 1

Comments:

**Assessment Criteria 4.**

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.
--	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3) 3

Comments:**Assessment Criteria 5.**

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3) 3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3) 2.

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the

vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.
-------------------------------	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3) 2.


Comments:



Total score and overall comments: 16/21

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

Overall comments for this application:



Date of completion: 26.04.18.

Application details.

1. Organisation name: [REDACTED]
2. Program name (if applicable): [REDACTED]

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3) 3

Comments: [REDACTED]

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for.	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to

The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.		offer reasonable value for money.	offer excellent value for money.
---	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3) 2.

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3) 1

Comments:


Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how

provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.
--	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3) 3

Comments: 


Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3) 2

Comments: 

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3) 2.

Comments:

**Assessment Criteria 7**

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the

vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.
-------------------------------	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3) 2

Comments: [Redacted]

Total score and overall comments: 16/21

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

Overall comments for this application:

[Redacted]

Date of completion: 26.04.18

Application details.

1. Organisation name: [REDACTED]
2. Program name (if applicable): [REDACTED]

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3) 3

Comments: [REDACTED]

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for.	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to

The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.		offer reasonable value for money.	offer excellent value for money.
---	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3) 2

Comments: [REDACTED]

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3) 1

Comments: [REDACTED]

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how

provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.
--	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3) 3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3) 2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3) 2.

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.
-------------------------------	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3) 7

Comments:



Total score and overall comments:

15/21


Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

Overall comments for this application:



Date of completion: 26.04.18

Application details.

1. Organisation name: 
2. Program name (if applicable):

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3) 3

Comments: 

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for.	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to

es

The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.		offer reasonable value for money.	offer excellent value for money.
---	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3) 3.

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3) 3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how

provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.
--	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3) 2.

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3) 2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3) 3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the

vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.
-------------------------------	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3)

3

Comments:



Total score and overall comments:

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

20/21

Overall comments for this application:



Date of completion:

Application details.

1. Organisation name: [REDACTED]
2. Program name (if applicable):

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3) **3**

Comments:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for.	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to

The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.		offer reasonable value for money.	offer excellent value for money.
---	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3) **1**

Comments:



Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3) **3**

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.
--	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3) ~~1~~ 1

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3) 3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3) 3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.	population and vulnerable population groups.
-------------------------------	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 7: (0-3) 3

Comments:

[Redacted comments]

Total score and overall comments: *[Signature]* 17/21

Total score for Selection Criteria 1-7: (total score out of 21)

Overall comments for this application:

[Redacted overall comments]

Date of completion:



Application details.

1. Organisation name: [REDACTED]
2. Program name (if applicable): [REDACTED]

A rubric has been developed to assist you to rate all applications. The rating that you (and the other assessors) give will strongly inform the discussion and decisions made at the assessment panel meeting.

The assessment criteria has been taken from the funding guidelines. Please note that the 'Location of funded activity' assessment criteria, has been scored as part of the eligibility check and does not require your assessment.

Please rate each assessment criteria from zero to three (0-3).

Assessment Criteria 1.

The proposal shows demonstrated commitment to bulk billing (the general practice will retain autonomy over billing decisions).

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated limited commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated sound commitment to bulk billing.	The proposal demonstrated significant commitment to bulk billing.

Score for assessment criteria 1: (0-3) 1

Comments: [REDACTED]

Assessment Criteria 2.

The proposal demonstrated efficient use of resources and value for money, and included a budget with detailed costings, and evidence of the capability to deliver to deadlines and on budget.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
There was very limited detail on what the funding sought will be used for.	There was some detail on what the funding sought will be used for. It provided limited value for money.	There was some explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to	There was a detailed explanation of each budget line item. The project appeared to

The budget was not detailed and the project does not demonstrate value for money.		offer reasonable value for money.	offer excellent value for money.
---	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Score for assessment criteria 2: (0-3) 2

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 3.

The proposal must include a timeline for implementation, a description of intended performance measures and a mechanism for project evaluation.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not include a timeline for implementation. There were no performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a limited timeline for implementation. There were limited performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a well thought out timeline for implementation. There were well thought out performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.	The proposal included a significantly thought out timeline for implementation. There were significant performance measures and mechanisms for evaluation.

Score for assessment criteria 3: (0-3) 1

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 4.

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will provide a sustainable long-term footing for bulk billed primary health care that is not reliant on further ACT Government funding.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how

[Assessors name] – Panel assessment form

Application No:

provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.	the general practice will provide a sustainable and long-term bulk billed primary health care service.
--	--	--	--

Score for assessment criteria 4: (0-3) 1

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 5.

The proposal demonstrated support for:

- Preventative care – for example, promotion of healthy lifestyles, addressing risk factors and lifestyle modifications to prevent chronic disease, and improving early detection and management of chronic disease;
- Coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach that focuses on patients' needs – for example, easily accessible and affordable allied health services, or supported referral to these. Delivery of other innovative healthcare services to the community will also be considered favourably; and
- Integration with relevant local programs, support groups and initiatives in the community – for example. Enhanced coordination with other health services or community health programs.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated limited support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated sound support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs.	The proposal demonstrated significant support for: Preventative care, coordinated care with a multidisciplinary team approach and/or integration with relevant local programs

Score for assessment criteria 5: (0-3) 1

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 6

The proposal demonstrated that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies, including (but not limited to) clinical information systems, secure messaging systems and My Health Record.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent that the general practice will make effective use of digital health technologies.

Score for assessment criteria 6: (0-3) 3

Comments:

Assessment Criteria 7

The proposal demonstrated how the general practice will support:

- Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, for example, established links with community controlled organisations, demonstrated understanding of the specific healthcare needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander identified members of the practice's workforce;
- Culturally and linguistically diverse members of the populations; and
- Vulnerable population groups.

Rating Scale			
0	1	2	3
The proposal did not demonstrate how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the population and	The proposal demonstrated to a limited extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a sound extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the	The proposal demonstrated to a significant extent how the general practice will support: Culturally respectful health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders clients, culturally and linguistically diverse members of the